

**Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Special Action**

CV-26-0166-SA

ROBBINS et al v SUREWAY PROPERTIES

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 27-May-2026 Archive on: 1-Jun-2036 (planned)
Case Closed: 1-Jun-2026

Dept/Composition

**Side 1. IVA ROBBINS, IVAN ROBBINS, Petitioner
(Litigant Group) IVA ROBBINS, IVAN ROBBINS**

- Iva Robbins PRO SE
- Ivan Robbins PRO SE

**Side 2. SUREWAY PROPERTIES, INC., Respondent
(Litigant Group) SUREWAY PROPERTIES, INC.**

- Sureway Properties Inc. Attorneys for: Respondent

CASE STATUS

Jun 1, 2026.....Case Closed

Jun 1, 2026.....Decision Rendered

PREDECESSOR CASE (S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
MAR CV2026-013015			Susanna C Pineda, Trial		

CASE DECISION

01-Jun-2026 ORDER

* Petitioners Iva and Ivan Robbins filed a Petition for Special Action challenging the assignment of judicial officer to consider the matters in the superior court and a Motion to Stay Proceedings.

Filed: 01-Jun-2026	Mandate:
Decision Disposition	
Dismissed	

Rule 11 (c), Ariz. R.P. Spec. Act., provides, "If a

John Lopez

3 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

1. 27-May-2026 FILED: Petition for Special Action; Cover Sheet; Motion to Stay Proceedings; Appellate Application for Deferral or Waiver of Court Fees and Consent to Entry of Judgment (Petitioners Robbins, et al., Pro Se)
2. 29-May-2026 ORDERED: Appellate Application for Deferral or Waiver of Court Fees and Consent to Entry of Judgment (Petitioners Robbins, et al., Pro Se) = DEFERRAL GRANTED/ No Payments Due Until Further Notice (Aaron C. Nash, Clerk)
3. 1-Jun-2026 Petitioners Iva and Ivan Robbins filed a Petition for Special Action challenging the assignment of judicial officer to consider the matters in the superior court and a Motion to Stay Proceedings.

Rule 11 (c), Ariz. R.P. Spec. Act., provides, "If a special action is filed in the Court of Appeals or Supreme Court and the action might have been properly initiated in a lower court, the petition must state the reasons for not filing it in the lower court. If the appellate court finds these reasons insufficient, it will dismiss the petition without prejudice." Petitioner provides no compelling reason as to why this matter could not be initiated in a lower court in the first instance. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED dismissing the Petition for Special Action without prejudice to presenting the matter in a lower court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying the Motion to Stay proceedings. (Hon. John R Lopez IV)